



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,356	11/15/1999	SITARAMA S. KOCHERLAKOTA	KOCHERLAKOTA-4	2853
22242 7	590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			BRANCOLINI, JOHN R	
120 SOUTH L SUITE 1600	A SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			2153	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/200	, <i>)</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Description Descr		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
John R Brancolini 2153		09/440,356	KOCHERLAKOTA, SITARAMA S.			
Period for Reply A SHORTEMED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of them may be resident used for the provisions of 37 CPR 1.78(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled to the period for reply specified above, the machines of 37 CPR 1.78(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled to the period for reply specified above, the machines of 37 CPR 1.78(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled to the period for reply specified above, the machines of 37 CPR 1.78(a), in the period for reply specified above, the machines statistically period will apply and will apply 61 (b) (40) MIN (5) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cerum-deplication, even the machine did event of the second specified for reply will, by statistic cause this application to become APAIDCNED (53 U.S. 6) 133). Figure to reply which we set or detended period for reply will, by statistic cause this application to the machines of the cerum-deplication, even if through filled, may reduce any examend pattern adjustment. Set 27 CPR 1.76(a). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 November 1999. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim(s) □ sis/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) □ 1.34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) □ 1.34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) □ 1.34 is/are rejected to extended provided to the set of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Establishins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Establishins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Establishins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Establishins of the reply is specified above, the maximum stability reply within the stability maintread of the reply sign of the stability of the reply sign of the stability of the stability maintread of the reply sign of the stability of the stability with the stability of the stability o			<u></u>			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR.136(c). In a event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SR (c) MONTS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply spenified above is less than target. (20) (30) period of the communication is the period of the communication of	·					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are epjected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a accepted or b \bigodetic Objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 30 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 31 Notice of References Ci	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 f	<u>November 1999</u> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are. a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.					
6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collar is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Preftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on of July _2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a)□ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)□ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a)□ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2000 is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a)☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ↑ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-34 are pending in the application.

Priority

No claim for priority has been made.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "101, 103, 105" has been used to designate different items on both figures 2 and 3. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 517, 539. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- o Page 9 line 33, playback server 100 not shown in figures.
- Page 10 line 31, Step 573 not shown in figures.

Art Unit: 2153

o Page 15 line 1, Step 55 not shown in figures.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 30-34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shteyn (US Patent Number 6611654).

In regards to claim 30, Shteyn discloses a media program storage system comprising:

- A plurality of media program receivers, each including access to a plurality of media program signals, at least one media program signal being accessible at less than all of the plurality of media program receivers (geographically positioned servers for receiving media programs for media signals not available at all servers, col 1 line 66 – col 2 line 6).
- o Request serving apparatus responsive to a storage request from a client for storage of a media program signal identified by the client for sending the request for storage to a media program receiver having access to the media program

requested (a server to receive requests and selecting a storage device, col 2 lines 53-57).

o Storage apparatus at the media program receiver receiving the storage request sent from the request apparatus for storing the requested multimedia program (the server receives a request from the client, col 2 lines 53-55).

In regards to claim 31, Shteyn discloses the request serving apparatus stores accessibility data representing the accessibility of media program signals at the media program receiver (the server contains an electronic guide to a broadcast containing data representing the accessibility of the media program, col 1 line 37).

In regards to claim 32, Shteyn discloses the request server analyzes the accessibility data to identify a media program receiver having access to the media program requested (the server uses a listing to find a geographically suited storage device, col 1 lines 34-43).

In regards to claim 33, Shteyn discloses the media program receiving arrangement receiving the storage request notifies the client originating the request that the requested storage has occurred (the server that stores the event then streams the event to the client, after receiving a playout location from the previously notified client, col 1 lines 43-54).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 34, Shteyn discloses the media program receiving arrangement receiving the storage request receives a request from the client for delivery of the stored media program and delivers the stored media program to the client in streaming video format over the computer network (the server streams the recorded data to a location specified by the client after recording, col 1 lines 46-54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shteyn in view of Peterson et al. (US Patent Number 6594682), hereinafter referred to as Peterson.

In regards to claim 1, Shteyn discloses a method of remote media program recording via a computer network comprising:

- Providing a plurality of media programs (a complete television broadcast list, col
 1 lines 36-37).
- o Receiving from a client via the computer network, a request to deliver a specified media program to the client, the request specifying a format for delivery (the server receives a request for a specified media program from the client via a network specifying the format for delivery, col 2 lines 53-59).

Art Unit: 2153

 Selecting and storing in digital format the media program specified by the client (the server records the broadcast in the format selected by the client, col 2 lines 55-59).

Shteyn however does not directly disclose sending to the client, via the computer network, a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed.

Peterson discloses a system for the scheduling and delivery of media content to a client. In this system Peterson discloses sending a message to the client via the computer network a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed (a notification is made to the client by the scheduling subsystem that contains sufficient information, such as a network address, and explains how to retrieve the data, col 4 lines 20-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shteyn to include sending to the client, via the computer network, a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed as taught by Peterson. Including this would increase the ease with which the user can retrieve recorded media from the server, and also allow the user to download the media at a time more convenient for the client.

In regards to claim 2, Shteyn fails to disclose receiving from the client via the computer network a request for access to the stored media program. Peterson however shows the client sending a request to the server for access to the stored media program

Art Unit: 2153

(after receiving the notification, the client contacts the server and attempts to download the media, col 4 lines 30-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shteyn to include a client request to access the stored media as taught by Peterson to increase efficiency at the server end by improving scheduling at the server.

In regards to claim 3, Shteyn discloses transmitting the stored media program to the client via the computer network in the format specified during request receiving (the media program is recorded and transferred to the user based on the users preferences and the capabilities of the playback devices, or the format is selected by the user based on the playback device, col 1 lines 45-54, col 2 lines 22-25).

In regards to claim 4, Shteyn discloses the digital format for storing the specified media program is a format compatible with the format specified during request receiving (the server stores and sends the recorded program to a storage system in the format as requested by the client, col 2 lines 53-55, 62-67).

In regards to claim 5, Shteyn discloses wherein the specified format is a streaming video format (col 2 lines 63-63).

In regards to claim 6, Shteyn discloses a plurality of streaming video formats are provided and the computer network address sent to the client identifies a server capable

Page 8

of providing streaming video in the format requested by the client (the program is recorded to a server storage system and then streamed to the client, a network address is inherently associated with the server and supplied to the client for playback, col 1 lines 46-54).

In regards to claim 7, Shteyn discloses the media program is of predetermined time duration and the message sent to the client is sent after the time duration of the media program has passed (the server utilizes a program guide containing the predetermined lengths of the programs to be recorded, and after storing the program it is streamed to the client, col 1 lines 34-56).

In regards to claim 8, Shteyn discloses the program transmitting occurs while the selecting and storing is occurring (if there is sufficient bandwidth, the server may stream the program directly to the client for play out as the storage is occurring without local client recording, but still with server storage, col 4 lines 15-18).

In regards to claim 9, Shteyn discloses the message identifying a computer network address is sent to the client at substantially the same time that the selecting and storing begins so that the media program can be delivered to the client substantially in real time (if there is sufficient bandwidth, the server, using a network address, may stream the program directly to the client for play out as the storage is occurring, col 4 lines 15-18).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 10, Shteyn discloses a remote media program recording and replay system for use over a computer network comprising:

- A plurality of media program signals each representing à media program (a complete television broadcast list, col 1 lines 36-37).
- o A plurality of media delivery devices, each media delivery device for providing stored media programming in a predetermined format over the computer network (a plurality of servers used for delivery of media located in several geographic locations, col 1 lines 39-42).
- A server for receiving via the computer network a recording request from a client, the recording request specifying a media program and a delivery format in which the client desires to receive the media program (the server receives a request for a specified media program from the client via a network specifying the format for delivery, col 2 lines 53-59).
- o Selecting and converting apparatus for selecting the specified media program from the plurality of media program signals and for converting the selected media program into a digital format (the server selects and converts the broadcast into the digital format selected by the client, col 2 lines 55-59).
- o Storage apparatus for storing the selected media program in the digital format provided by the selecting and converting apparatus (the server selects an appropriate storage device, col 2 lines 55-57).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,356 Page 10

Art Unit: 2153

The media delivery device, being responsive to a delivery request from the client received via the computer network for transmitting the stored media program to the client via the computer network (the server can transmit the recorded program as streaming audio or as a data file to be stored remotely via a network, col 2 lines 62-66, col 3 lines 53-56).

Shteyn however does not directly disclose a notifying apparatus responsive to the completion of storage of the specified media program by the storage apparatus for notifying the client of a computer network address specifying one of the media delivery devices and a data file of the storage apparatus storing the specified media program.

Peterson discloses a system for the scheduling and delivery of media content to a client. In this system Peterson discloses a notifying apparatus for sending a message to the client via the computer network a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed (a notification is made to the client by the scheduling subsystem that contains sufficient information, such as a network address, and explains how to retrieve the data, col 4 lines 20-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shteyn to include a notifying apparatus for sending to the client, via the computer network, a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed as taught by Peterson. Including this would increase the ease with which the user can retrieve recorded media from the server, and also allow the user to download the media at a time more convenient for the client.

In regards to claim 11, Shteyn discloses at least one of the plurality of media program signals represents a time based broadcast signal (a sporting event with a definitive start time, col 2 lines 47-49) and the recording and replay system comprises:

 A scheduler which is responsive to a recording request and broadcast time information for selecting a specified media program (the server utilizes an electronic programming guide to find the broadcast time before recording, col 1 lines 37-39).

In regards to claim 12, Shteyn discloses the media program requested by the client is a television broadcast of a sporting event (a basketball game is selected for recording, col 3 lines 61-62).

In regards to claim 13, Shteyn discloses the media program requested by the client is a motion picture (a television network program can be recorded, whether a television show or motion picture, col 3 lines 25-29).

In regards to claim 14, Shteyn discloses the system prepares for delivery to a client only media programs or portions of media programs broadcast after the receipt by the web server of the request from a client (the server does not begin to store or transmit until a program is selected, col 1 lines 35-39).

In regards to claim 15, Shteyn discloses the storage apparatus stores the media program in an uncompressed digital format [Utilizing a hard drive to store the digital data sent directly from the server (col 3 lines 53-56), the server neither storing or altering the data, transmitting an uncompressed digital format to the client (col 4 lines 5-7)].

In regards to claim 16, Shteyn discloses at least one of the media delivery devices delivers media programs in a streaming video format (col 2 lines 63-63).

In regards to claim 17, Shteyn discloses the media delivery device delivers media programs in a streaming video format. Real Media is a streaming video format and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize for streaming the program to the client.

In regards to claim 18, Shteyn discloses the media delivery device delivers media programs in a streaming video format. Net Show Video is a streaming video format and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize for streaming the program to the client.

In regards to claim 19, Shteyn discloses a remote media program delivery system for use over an Internet comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/440,356 Page 13

Art Unit: 2153

 A plurality of time scheduled media program signals each representing a media program (a complete television broadcast list, col 1 lines 36-37).

- o A plurality of Internet media delivery devices, each media delivery device for transmitting media programming in a predetermined format over the Internet (a plurality of servers used for delivery of media located in several geographic locations, col 1 lines 39-42).
- o A server for receiving via the Internet a media program request from a client, the media program request specifying a media program and a digital delivery format in which the client desires to receive the media program (the server receives a request for a specified media program from the client via a network specifying the format for delivery, col 2 lines 53-59).
- o Selecting and converting apparatus for selecting the specified media program from the plurality of time scheduled media program signals and for converting the selected media program into a digital format (the server selects and converts the broadcast into the digital format selected by the client, col 2 lines 55-59).
- o Storage apparatus for storing in a data file at least a portion of the selected media program in the digital format specified by the client to the server (the server selects an appropriate storage device, col 2 lines 55-57).
- o The media delivery device, being responsive to a delivery request from the client received via the Internet for transmitting the media program from the storage apparatus to the client via the Internet (the server can transmit the recorded

Art Unit: 2153

program as streaming audio or as a data file to be stored remotely via a network, col 2 lines 62-66, col 3 lines 53-56).

Shteyn however lacks notifying apparatus for notifying the client-of an Internet address specifying one of the Internet media delivery devices and a data file of the storage apparatus storing at least a portion of the specified media program.

Peterson discloses a system for the scheduling and delivery of media content to a client. In this system Peterson discloses a notifying apparatus for sending a message to the client via the computer network a message identifying an Internet address from which the stored media program can be accessed (a notification is made to the client by the scheduling subsystem that contains sufficient information, such as a network address, and explains how to retrieve the data, col 4 lines 20-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shteyn to include a notifying apparatus for sending to the client, via the computer network, a message identifying an Internet address from which the stored media program can be accessed as taught by Peterson. Including this would increase the ease with which the user can retrieve recorded media from the server, and also allow the user to download the media at a time more convenient for the client.

In regards to claim 20, Shteyn discloses at least one of the plurality of media program signals represents a time based broadcast signal (a sporting event with a definitive start time, col 2 lines 47-49) and the media program selecting system comprises:

o a scheduler which is responsive to a media program request and scheduled broadcast time information for selecting a specified media program (the server utilizes an electronic programming guide to find the broadcast time before recording, col 1 lines 37-39).

In regards to claim 21, Shteyn discloses at least one of the media delivery devices delivers media programs in a streaming video format (col 2 lines 63-63).

In regards to claim 22, Shteyn discloses the media delivery device delivers media programs in a streaming video format. Real Media is a streaming video format and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize for streaming the program to the client.

In regards to claim 23, Shteyn discloses the media delivery device delivers media programs in a streaming video format. Net Show Video is a streaming video format and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize for streaming the program to the client.

In regards to claim 24, Shteyn discloses the specified media program is a television broadcast of a sporting event (a basketball game is selected for recording, col 3 lines 61-62).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 25, Shteyn discloses the specified media program is a motion picture (a television network program can be recorded, whether a television show or motion picture, col 3 lines 25-29).

In regards to claim 26, Shteyn discloses a media server comprising:

- A plurality of media program signals each representing a media program (a complete television broadcast list, col 1 lines 36-37).
- A plurality of media delivery devices, each media delivery device for providing stored media programming in a predetermined format over a computer network (a plurality of servers used for delivery of media located in several geographic locations, col 1 lines 39-42).
- A request apparatus for receiving via a computer network, a storage request from a client, the storage request specifying a media program and a delivery format in which the client desires to receive the media program (the server receives a request for a specified media program from the client via a network specifying the format for delivery, col 2 lines 53-59).
- o Apparatus for selecting the specified media program from the plurality of media program signals and for storing the selected media program in a digital format compatible with the specified delivery format (the server selects and converts the broadcast into the digital format selected by the client, col 2 lines 55-59).

Shteyn however does not directly disclose a notifying apparatus for notifying the client of a computer network address identifying the stored media program.

Art Unit: 2153

Peterson discloses a system for the scheduling and delivery of media content to a client. In this system Peterson discloses a notifying apparatus for sending a message to the client via the computer network a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed (a notification is made to the client by the scheduling subsystem that contains sufficient information, such as a network address, and explains how to retrieve the data, col 4 lines 20-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shteyn to include a notifying apparatus for sending to the client, via the computer network, a message identifying a computer network address from which the stored media program can be accessed as taught by Peterson. Including this would increase the ease with which the user can retrieve recorded media from the server, and also allow the user to download the media at a time more convenient for the client.

In regards to claim 27, Shteyn discloses a media delivery device responsive to a delivery request from the client received via the computer network for transmitting the stored media program to the client via the computer network (the server can transmit the recorded program as streaming audio or as a data file to be stored remotely via a network, col 2 lines 62-66, col 3 lines 53-56).

In regards to claim 28, Shteyn discloses wherein at least one of the plurality of media program signals represents a time based broadcast signal (a sporting event with a definitive start time, col 2 lines 47-49) and the media server comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/440,356 Page 18

Art Unit: 2153

o A scheduler which is responsive to a storage-request and broadcast time

information for selecting a specified media program (the server utilizes an

electronic programming guide to find the broadcast time before recording, col 1

lines 37-39).

In regards to claim 29, Shteyn discloses the media server prepares for delivery to

a client only media programs or portions of media programs broadcast after the receipt

by the server of the request from a client (the server does not begin to store or transmit

until a program is selected, col 1 lines 35-39).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John R Brancolini whose telephone number is (703)

305-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

JRB

GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100